# Lexical Markup Framework: ISO-24613

Gil Francopoulo (INRIA-Loria, France), Monica Monachini (CNR-ILC, Italy)

LIRICS project

## LMF as ISO project

- Work started in Summer 2003 by a new work item proposal issued by the US delegation
- Fall 2003: the French delegation issued a technical proposition for a data model dedicated to NLP lexicons
- + Beginning of 2004: ISO-TC37/SC4 decided to form a common ISO project (ISO-24613) with:
  - Nicoletta Calzolari (IT) as convenior
  - two editors:

Gil Francopoulo (FR) Monte George (US)

## History & roadmap

- In 3 years and a half, 13 versions has been written, dispatched (to the National delegations nominated experts), commented and discussed in various ISO technical meetings
- + + papers in LREC-2006 + COLING-2004&2006
- + Situation today:
  - LMF document is a « committee draft » document (60 pages)

- In March 2007, the ND allow us to obtain DIS status, provided that we include a couple of comments: we are now currently preparing this DIS version

=> target IS (= published standard) in 2008

## Method & motivation

- + Try to learn from the past: Eagles, Multext, EDR etc.
- Study current famous lexicons (see « Extended examples of lexicons with LMF » on <u>http://lirics.loria.fr</u>+document area)
- Try to sum up « best practices » of lexicon definition & management
- Work to reach a consensual ISO standard on NLP lexicons
- + Our motivation here today
- + A) present where we are
- + B) AND collect your comments

It's a work on progress. The model is more or less stable: at least, stable enough to be presented and discussed.



+Range of lexicons, LMF is intended for. => MRD + NLP lexicons => all MRD and all NLP applications => all languages => small and large scale lexicons => simple and complex lexicons => monolingual, bilingual, multilingual

## Requirements

#### Multiple orthographies

- Morphology
   -Repr. explicitly all inflected forms
   -Repr. in intension the inflected forms
- + Easily associate spoken form & written form
- + Repr. complex agglutinating compound words like in German
- + Repr. fixed, semi-fixed and flexible MWE
- Repr. complex syntactic constructions that are mapped onto a semantic representation (as in Eagles)
- Allow a semantic organization based on SynSets (like in WordNet) or on semantic predicates (like in FrameNet)
- Repr. large scale multilingual resources based on interlingual pivots or on transfer linking
- + LMF does not address the following topics
  - general sentence grammar of a language
  - world knowledge representation

## General principle

- + LMF is a structural data model expressed by a set of Unified Modeling Language (UML) packages.
- + LMF is a high level specification based on constants that are defined in other standards
- Each package contains classes
- + Each class is specified by:
  - a name

  - an English text describing its usage
    an UML specification for linking with other classes
- + Each class is to be adorned by a set of attribute/value pairs.
- + But the attributes are not defined in the LMF specification. Only a list of examples is given. The attributes are to be taken from the data category registry (see next slide).
- + The values are either constants or free strings.

## General principle (cont.)

- + The free string must conform to Unicode (ISO/IEC 10646)
- + The constants are to be taken from other standards:
  - language codes (ISO-639 or IETF BCP-47)
  - script codes (Iso-15924)
  - country codes (Iso-3166)
  - dates (Iso-8601)

- data category registry (rev ISO-12620) = work in progress to define linguistic constants like /part of speech/, /feminine/ or /transitive/

- The version and name of each of these standards is specified in a class called Global Information
- ISO context= LMF is just one member of a family of standards that are on the way to be defined within TC37/SC4 and all these standards share this particular principle for a good interoperability

## LMF structural data model

+ One core package and 8 packages for extensions



## data model: core package



#### LIRICS IAG meeting (AFNOR offices)

## data model: Morphology



## data model: Syntax



## data model: Semantics



#### **Semantics: example#1**



### Semantics: example#2 (WordNet 2.1)



# The same data can be expressed by the XML fragment (DTD in annex)

<LexicalEntry> <DC att="partOfSpeech" val="noun"/> <Lemma> <DC att="writtenForm" val="oak tree"/> </l> <Sense id="oak tree0" synset="12100067"/> </LexicalEntry> <LexicalEntrv> <DC att="partOfSpeech" val="noun"/> <Lemma> <DC att=writtenForm" val="oak"/> </Lemma> <Sense id="oak0" synset="12100067"/> <Sense id="oak2" synset="12100739"/> </LexicalEntry> <Synset id="12100067"> SemanticDefinition> <DC att="text" val="a deciduous tree of the genus Quercus"/> <Statement> <DC att="text" val="has acorns and lobed leaves"/> </Statement> <Statement> <DC att="text" val="great oaks grow from little acorns"/> </Statement> </SemanticDefinition> <SynsetRelation targets="12100739" <DC att="label" val="substanceHolonym"/> </SynsetRelation> </Synset>

. . .

### Semantics: example#3 (DEC)



## Package for multilingual notations

- + For both interlingual pivots and transfer approach:
  - Sense+Synset (of different languages) may be linked by a SenseAxis
  - SyntacticBehavior (of different languages) may be linked by a TransferAxis
- ✤ Possibility to share or to duplicate Axis
- + Possibility to add sourceTest or targetTest
- + Possibility to link Examples (from different languages)

## Data model: Multilingual notations



## Multilingual notation example#1



#### Multilingual notation example#2 : Source Test : Transfer Axis : Syntactic Behavior semanticRestriction = eng:picture label = esp:revelar : Transfer Axis Relation syntacticArgument = 2: Source Test semanticRestriction = eng:mentalOreation syntacticArgument = 2: Transfer Axis : Syntactic Behavior label = ita:sviluppare : Transfer Axis Relation : Syntactic Behavior : Syntactic Behavior : Transfer Axis label = eng:develop label = esp:desarrollar: Syntactic Behavior label = esp:construir : Transfer Axis Relation : Transfer Axis : Syntactic Behavior label = ita:costruire : Source Test semanticRestriction = eng:building syntacticArgument = 2

# Connection with external systems like ontologies

- It's not the purpose of the semantic and the multilingual packages to provide a complex knowledge organization system
- LMF focus is NLP lexicons as required by user needs expressed through the channels of the National Delegations
- + But we must provide to our users a clear linking with these external systems

## Differences

- A semantic node in LMF is a data structure representing the meaning of a word in a particular language
- A node in a knowledge representation system is a data structure representing an elementary piece of what 'exists'
- What 'exists' can be examined by separating issues of concept definition (ontology) and facts (concrete or imaginary facts), but from an LMF perspective, we stop where the meaning of a word stops
- Ontologies and fact data bases are considered as external systems

## **Provided mechanisms**

- The mechanism cannot be a naive attribute adornment because the cardinality is one to many: intermediate classes must be designed for this purpose
- The connection is provided by two classes MonolingualExternalRef and MultilingualExternalRef

These classes are adorned by /externalSystem/ and /externalReference, resp. to the name of the external system and to the relevant node in this given system

## Last slide

### Acknowledgements:

The work presented here is partially funded by the EU eContent-22236 LIRICS project

### Future readings:

- LMF-revision-13, see http://lirics.loria.fr Don't hesitate to contact us (gil.francopoulo@wanadoo.fr)

Thank you